

ABBREVIATED PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

This checklist can be used to help the site investigator determine if an Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment (APA) is warranted. This checklist should document the rationale for the decision on whether further steps in the site investigation process are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

Checklist Preparer: PAUL ROEMERMAN 5/8/15
(Name/Title) (Date)
913-551-7684
(Address) (Phone)

Site Name: USDA-FGHS TECHNICAL CENTER
(E-Mail Address)

Previous Names (if any): _____

Site Location: 10383^{NW} AMBASSADOR DRIVE (EXECUTIVE HILLS)
(Street) KANSAS CITY MO 64153
(City) (ST) (Zip)

Latitude: 39.2812 Longitude: -94.6708

Describe the release (or potential release) and its probable nature: SMALL QUANTITY GENERATOR

Part 1 - Superfund Eligibility Evaluation

If all answers are "no" go on to Part 2, otherwise proceed to Part 3.

	YES	NO
1. Is the site currently in CERCLIS or an "alias" of another site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Is the site being addressed by some other remedial program (Federal, State, or Tribal)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory exclusion (e.g., petroleum, natural gas, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, release located in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site excluded by policy considerations (i.e., deferred to RCRA corrective action)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. Is there sufficient documentation to demonstrate that no potential for a release that could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts exists (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent data showing no release above ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no hazardous substance releases have occurred, or an EPA approved risk assessment completed)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please explain all "yes" answer(s). FEDERAL DUCKET SITE, SMALL QUANTITY GENERATOR, RCRA SITE

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a “yes” or “no” response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If the answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.	YES	NO
1. Does the site have a release or a potential to release?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does the site have uncontained sources containing CERCLA eligible substances?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If the answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all “yes” then answer the questions below before proceeding to Part 3.		YES	NO
4.	Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Is there no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

A-2


EXHIBIT 1
SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activities based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgement when evaluating a site. Your judgement may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Suspected/Documented Site Conditions		APA	Full PA	PA/SI	SI
1.	There are no releases or potential to release.	Yes	No	No	No
2.	No uncontained sources with CERCLA-eligible substances are present on site.	Yes	No	No	No
3.	There are no on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets.	Yes	No	No	No
4.	There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 1: APA ↔ SI	Yes	No	Yes
		Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes
5.	There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site.	Option 1: APA ↔ SI	Yes	No	Yes
		Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes
6.	There is an apparent release and no documented on-site targets and no documented targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets. Nearby targets are those targets that are located within 1 mile of the site and have a relatively high likelihood of exposure to a hazardous substance migration from the site.	No	Yes	No	No
7.	There is no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site.	No	Yes	No	No

Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 -- conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 -- proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

Check the box that applies based on the conclusions of the APA:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NFRAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Refer to Removal Program - further site assessment needed
<input type="checkbox"/> Higher Priority SI	<input type="checkbox"/> Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP
<input type="checkbox"/> Lower Priority SI	<input type="checkbox"/> Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site
<input type="checkbox"/> Defer to RCRA Subtitle C	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Defer to NRC	
Regional EPA Reviewer:	
 Print Name/Signature	5/8/15 Date

PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR YOUR DECISION: SITE IS RCRA SMALL
QUANTITY GENERATOR WITH NO RELEASE OF
CERCLA HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

NOTES: